The Atlantic Council's Aslund then published an article praising ABLV Bank, one of the Parex successors, for fighting money laundering. He did this just before the US Treasury blacklisted ABLV for, among other things, helping to loot Ukraine. That article was secretly commissioned by the Latvian government through Atlantic Council fellow and Blue Star Strategies lobbyist Sally Painter who used to be lobbyist for Parex. I know Painter and after her success covering up my whistleblowing against Parex, she was hired by the Latvian government to protect ABLV.

Other developments were surreal. The Latvian government gave high state awards to Painter and Dombrovskis for saving Latvia even though really they did the opposite. The Latvian government incarcerated a folk singer for twenty months because he protested the Parex looting, which he blamed (correctly) on the Russian Mafia. My whistleblowing was almost completely censored from the media even though the fraud crashed the national economy. In one instance a caller asked a popular journalist during his radio show about my whistleblowing. This is one of the journalists who supports the Dombrovskis story that Sweden caused the economic crash and Dombrovskis bailed out Parex to rescue Latvia from Sweden. The journalist replied to the caller that my name is a joke and I am fake. Then the government gave him a high state award also, for excellence in journalism.

None of this behaviour by the government was rational, on the surface at least. The government could have exposed, sued, and prosecuted the people responsible for the Parex collapse and recovered the lost money. But instead the government spent billions of euros in deceptive ways to protect the Parex fraudsters thus causing economic ruin in the country.

Fraudulent Activity

Meanwhile, the underlying fraud continues. The fake EBRD investment in Parex was rolled over into a new investment in the other Parex successor Citadele Bank. A Eurostat report that few people read and fewer people understood mentions that this investment is also due to be secretly reversed and therefore is also fake. The EBRD isn't paying to invest in Citadele like a normal investor. Instead the Latvian government is secretly paying the EBRD to pretend to invest in Citadele thus causing even larger losses to Latvian taxpayers. The purpose appears to be fooling onlookers that the EBRD has committed itself to Citadele. Most onlookers assume that the EBRD supports transparency and democracy, which explains why Citadele was able to get a USD correspondent account while the other banks in Latvia cannot. Keep in mind that Citadele still has mostly the same employees and clients from the old Parex and ABLV since nobody was prosecuted for money laundering and embezzlement.

While this was going on, a new monster was created in Latvia: OIK. This is a system whereby Latvian consumers don't pay directly for energy but rather a group of government-selected intermediary companies receive some of the money. OIK uses the same shell company network that was used by the old Parex and was later used by ABLV, Citadele, and Danske Estonia. Hundreds of billions of dollars have flowed through this network which seems connected with the old KGB and Putin's current government in Russia.

Thus we come to Dombrovskis' political ally and current Prime Minister Krisjanis Karins. He was involved with the 2009 Parex fraud which reversed in 2014 and the 2010 Citadele fraud which has not reversed yet. His party only received 7% of the vote in the 2018 national election however the government disqualified two more popular politicians from being government ministers by declaring that they were unfit to see 'state secrets' and therefore Karins became prime minister even though he lost the election. This is a continuation of an old strategy since the government also used the 'state secret' law to cover-up the fake Parex privatization for five years. In other words, the government is using false accounting to help oligarchs steal money from taxpayers and is treating this as an issue of national defence.

The two disqualified candidates wanted to shut down the OIK system, however the system continues now because Karins is prime minister. Why does Karins want to continue OIK when it is an obvious corruption scam? Perhaps there is a connection with the mysterious transfer of millions of euros from Cyprus to the prime minister and his wife that seemed to come from Vladimir Silkin, former Chief of the Moscow Property Department and an associate of Putin.

Burisma connection

Another disturbing issue is the government's relationship with Burisma of Ukraine, a gas company created by a Ukrainian government employee who became a billionaire. Burisma transferred money through Privatbank's subsidiary in Latvia to Hunter Biden's company Rosemont Seneca. Burisma uses Atlantic Council and Blue Star Strategies to convince Washington that Burisma isn't corrupt. This is interesting because under Karins' leadership, Latvia is also using Atlantic Council and Blue Star Strategies to convince Washington that Latvia isn't corrupt. If Burisma and Latvia aren't corrupt, then why would they need to pay millions of dollars to convince Washington that they aren't corrupt?